SOUTH CAMBRIDGESHIRE DISTRICT COUNCIL

REPORT TO: Development and Conservation Control Committee 3rd August 2005

AUTHOR/S: Director of Development Services

S/1013/05/O – Great Shelford Erection of House Including Part Demolition of Existing Dwelling at 2 Mingle Lane for S L Nightingale

Recommendation: Refusal Date for Determination: 18th July 2005

Members of Committee will visit the site on Monday 1st August 2005

Site and Proposal

- 1. The application site is a 0.09 hectare plot of land sited to the rear of Nos. 2 and 4 Mingle Lane. The site forms part of the garden area to No. 2 Mingle Lane, a two storey brick/render and tile dwelling, and comprises a number of mature trees. To the south of the site are the rear gardens of dwellings within Leeway Avenue whilst to the west are properties within Hinton Way. The site is approximately 1.8 metres lower than the garden land of No.3 Leeway Avenue which lies directly to the south.
- 2. The outline application, submitted on 23rd May 2005, seeks consent for the erection of a house on the site. The means of access to the site forms part of the application with details of siting, design and landscaping reserved for further consideration. The proposed access would be on the west side of the existing dwelling and would entail the demolition of an existing lean-to car port. The access would then continue for approximately 70 metres along the rear/east boundaries of dwellings in Hinton Way. It would be approximately 4 metres wide. The density of the development equates to 11 dwellings/hectare.

Planning History

3. None

Planning Policy

- 4. Great Shelford is identified within **Policy SE2** of the South Cambridgeshire Local Plan 2004 as a Rural Growth Settlement where estates, groups of dwelling and infilling are acceptable subject to development being sympathetic to the character and amenities of the locality.
- 5. **Policy HG11** of the Local Plan states that development to the rear of existing properties will only be permitted where the development would not:
 - a) Result in overbearing, overlooking or overshadowing of existing residential properties:
 - Result in noise and disturbance to existing residential properties through the use of its access:
 - c) Result in highway dangers through the use of its access;
 - d) Be out of character with the pattern of development in the vicinity.

- 6. **Policy EN5** of the Local Plan requires trees to be retained wherever possible in proposals for new development.
- 7. **Policy P1/3** of the County Structure Plan 2003 stresses the need for a high standard of design and a sense of place which corresponds to the local character of the built environment.

Consultations

8. **Great Shelford Parish Council states:**

"The size of the plot and the fall on the site means that a dwelling could be accommodated which, with careful design, would not overlook or be overbearing to adjacent properties. However we feel the proposed access immediately adjacent to the existing house and running to the rear of the properties on Hinton Way would affect the amenities of the occupiers of the properties. We hope the mature trees on the site will be retained."

- 9. The Trees and Landscape Officer objects to the application stating that the access arrangement would require the removal of various conifers and young broadleaf on the north-west boundary. No objections are raised to their removal. However, the site earmarked for the location of the house contains significant trees including a mature beech and Norway maple both of which would be compromised by any development on the site.
- 10. The Chief Environmental Health Officer raises no objections subject to a condition restricting the hours of use of power operated machinery being attached to any consent in order to minimise noise disturbance to neighbours.

Representations

- 11. Objections have been raised from Nos. 2, 4, 4a, 6, 8, 10 and 12 Hinton Way, No.3 Leeway Avenue and No.4 Mingle Lane. The main points raised are:
 - a) It is possible that the new building would overlook adjoining dwellings;
 - b) It is difficult to make specific comments until further details have been submitted;
 - c) The driveway would result in noise and disturbance to occupiers of properties in Hinton Way;
 - d) Backland development would be out of keeping with the character of the area;
 - e) Mature trees would need to be felled in order to create both the driveway and the house. These trees are important in the outlook from adjoining properties;
 - f) The trees make a significant contribution to the character of the village as recognised in the Village Design Statement which seeks to protect the village treescape;
 - g) The garden forms an important wildlife habitat for many species of birds;

- h) There was once a sandpit on the site and the site is on lower ground than adjoining properties so the application could result in problems in providing drainage;
- i) If approved, the application would set a precedent for new building;
- j) Any disturbance to the roots of trees, which are currently helping to shore up No.3 Leeway Avenue's garden, could affect the stability of this garden;
- The position of the access road along the rear gardens of properties in Hinton Way would result in an increased security risk to the occupiers of these properties;
- I) Any consent should be subject to a boundary treatment condition;

Planning Comments - Key Issues

- 12. The key issues to consider in the determination of this application are:
 - a) Impact upon the character of the area;
 - b) Affect upon the amenities of adjoining residents;
 - c) Impact upon trees;
 - d) Highway safety.

Character of the area

13. Concerns have been raised on the basis that the proposal would result in backland development which is considered to be out of keeping with the character of the area. The site, in being located close to the junction of Mingle Lane and Hinton Way and being adjoined by properties in Leeway Avenue to the south, is surrounded on three sides (to the east, south and west) by dwellings. In addition, there is a backland plot further to the east in Mingle Lane (No. 24a). In light of these factors, I do not consider the creation of a backland plot in this location to be out of keeping with the character of the area.

Residential amenity

14. Residents in Hinton Way have raised objections on the basis that the means of access to the proposed plot would result in an unacceptable level of noise and disturbance as well as to an increased security risk. Whilst the access would run directly adjacent to the rear garden areas of properties in Hinton Way, it would not pass directly by any of these properties and would be sited in excess of 20 metres away from the rear elevations of each of the dwellings in Hinton Way. Although the access would result in the loss of some existing vegetation along the western boundary of the site, I consider its distance from properties in Hinton Way together with the fact that it would serve just one dwelling, to be sufficient to avoid undue noise and disturbance to the occupiers of properties in Hinton Way. The access would pass directly by No.2 Mingle Lane itself. However, there are no windows in the west elevation of this property and, providing a formal boundary is erected between the access and No. 2's garden area, I am satisfied that the access would not cause significant harm to the amenities of the existing dwelling.

15. In letters from neighbouring properties, it has been stressed that it is impossible to judge the impact of any dwelling on this site upon their amenities in the absence of a block plan and elevations. Whilst this is correct, I am satisfied that there is sufficient space between the site and adjoining dwellings to be able to site a property here without resulting in overlooking or overshadowing of neighbouring properties. It appears from the plan that it would only be possible to site a single storey dwelling on the plot. However, given that the site is at a lower level than adjoining land, I consider there to be scope, in principle, to erect a dwelling with some first floor accommodation.

Impact on trees

16. There are a number of mature trees on the site that are visible from the gaps between dwellings in Hinton Way and Leeway Avenue as well as providing an attractive rural outlook to occupiers of properties in Mingle Lane, Hinton Way and Leeway Avenue. Regardless of the siting of the property, the proposal would result in the loss of significant trees which are considered to be of sufficient importance to require their retention and the Trees Officer has therefore objected to the application. In addition, the loss of these trees would be harmful to the character of the area as well as the pleasant outlook from adjoining dwellings.

Highway safety

17. No.2 Mingle Lane presently has 2 points of vehicular access, one of which would be utilised to serve the proposed plot. As such, I am satisfied that the proposal would not result in highway safety problems.

Other issues

18. Concerns regarding drainage of the site could be covered by surface and foul water drainage conditions should Members be minded to approve the application.

Recommendation

19. Refusal:

The erection of a dwelling on the site would result in the loss of mature trees to the detriment of the character of the area and the outlook from adjoining dwellings. The proposal would therefore contravene the South Cambridgeshire Local Plan 2004: Policies SE2 which requires development in Rural Growth Settlements to avoid harm to the character of the area, local features of landscape importance and the amenities of neighbours and EN5 which requires the retention of trees wherever possible in proposals for new development.

Background Papers: the following background papers were used in the preparation of this report:

Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Structure Plan 2003; South Cambridgeshire Local Plan 2004; Great Shelford Village Design Statement; Planning application reference: S/1013/05/O

Contact Officer: Lorraine Casey – Senior Planning Assistant

Telephone: (01954) 713251